

# A NOTE ON MIXING TRANSFORMATIONS

BY

J. R. BLUM\*

## ABSTRACT

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $T$  a 1-1, onto, measure-preserving transformation. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for  $T$  to be mixing, in terms of union of iterates of sets.

1. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $\tau$  a 1-1, onto, bimeasurable and measure-preserving transformation.  $\tau$  is ergodic if

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu(\tau^j A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$$

for all  $A$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\tau$  is mixing if

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\mu(\tau^j A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| = 0$$

for all such sets. From now on all sets mentioned will be assumed to be in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $k$  be an infinite sequence of positive integers and for a set  $A$  define  $A(k) = \bigcup_{k_n \leq k} \tau^{k_n} A$ . It is well known that  $\tau$  is ergodic if and only if  $\mu[A(k)] = 1$  for all positive  $A$  [i.e.,  $\mu(A) > 0$ ], and  $k$  the sequence of all positive integers. From this it follows at once that  $\tau$  is completely ergodic, i.e. every power of  $\tau$  is ergodic, if and only if  $\mu[A(k)] = 1$  for every positive  $A$  and every arithmetic sequence  $k$ . It is therefore of some interest to find out how much this condition must be strengthened to obtain mixing, and we do so in this note.

We shall say  $k$  is a (1,2)-sequence if  $k_{n+1} - k_n = 1$  or 2, and whenever  $k_{n+1} - k_n = 2$  then  $k_{n+2} - k_{n+1} = 1$ . We shall use the customary definitions of asymptotic (upper, lower) density of a sequence.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\tau$  be completely ergodic. Then  $\tau$  is mixing if and only if there*

\*Research supported by N.S.F. Grant GP-8290. Part of the research in this paper was carried out while the author was on Sabbatical Leave at the Israel Institute of Technology.

Received December 4, 1970

exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\mu[A(k)] = 1$  for every (1, 2)-sequence of asymptotic lower density  $\geq 1 - \varepsilon$ , and every positive  $A$ .

The necessity of the condition follows at once since if  $\tau$  is mixing then  $\lim_n \mu(\tau^n A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$  for every  $A$  and  $B$ , except possibly along a sequence  $k$  which may depend on  $A$  and  $B$ , but has asymptotic density zero for every  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus if  $k$  has positive asymptotic lower density and for some positive  $A$  we have  $\mu[A(k)] < 1$  then we let  $B = (A(k))^c$  to obtain a contradiction.

For the sufficiency we shall need to introduce the spectrum of  $\tau$ . By this we mean the spectrum of the unitary operator  $U$  defined on  $L_2(\Omega, \mu)$  and given by  $Uf(x) = f(\tau x)$  for  $f \in L_2$ . Now it is well known that  $\tau$  is completely ergodic if and only if every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $U$ , distinct from 1, is not a root of unity; and moreover  $\tau$  is mixing if and only if  $U$  has no eigenvalues distinct from 1. Thus let us suppose to the contrary that  $U$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  which is not a root of unity. If  $f$  is the associated eigenfunction it is also known that  $|f|$  is a constant, provided  $\tau$  is ergodic. We shall have occasion to use the following lemma which has some independent interest.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $|f| = 1$ , and let  $B$  be any Borel subset of the unit circle. Then  $\mu[f^{-1}(B)] = m(B)$ , where  $m$  is normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle.*

**PROOF.** Assume first that  $B$  is a subinterval of the circle and let  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_A(\tau^j x) = \mu(A)$  on a set of  $\mu$  measure one, where  $\chi_A$  is the set characteristic function of  $A$ . Let  $x$  be in this set and note that  $\tau_j x \in A$  if and only if  $f(\tau^j x) = \lambda^j f(x) \in B$ . Thus  $1/n \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_A(\tau^j x)$  is also the proportion of times that  $\lambda^j f(x)$  is in  $B$ . But from the Weyl equidistribution theorem it follows that this proportion converges to  $m(B)$ . Once we have this for single interval, it is also true for all intervals with rational endpoints on the same set of  $\mu$  measure one, and therefore for all intervals. The rest follows from standard arguments.

To complete the proof of the theorem, we shall assume that  $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$  is small in the sense that  $0 < \theta \leq \pi/20$ , say. We can do this since if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $U$ , so is  $\lambda^n$  for all integers  $n$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given and that  $\delta$  is so chosen that  $m\{[1, e^{i\delta}]\} < \varepsilon/2$  and also  $0 < \delta < \theta/20$ . Let  $I = [1, e^{i\theta}]$ , and  $A = f^{-1}(I)$ . Note that  $f(\tau^k A) = [\lambda^k, \lambda^k e^{i\theta}]$ . Define the sequence  $k$  by choosing  $m \in k$  providing  $f(\tau^m A) \cap I = \emptyset$ , or equivalently provided  $A \cap \tau^m A = \emptyset$ . Then clearly  $k$  is a (1, 2)-sequence and the density condition is easily verified. On the other hand  $\mu(A) = m(I) > 0$  and  $A(k) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mu[A(k)] < 1$ , and the theorem is proved.